

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/275,911

Applicant(s)

LIU ET AL.

Examiner

Ronald Baum

Art Unit

2136

**All Participants:**(1) Ronald Baum.(2) Sharon Wong.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 March 2005**Time:** 11:00**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

103(a) of 6/10/2004

Claims discussed:

1, 6, 7, and 26, 29, 32, 34 equiv. dep. claims

Prior art documents discussed:

na

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussion with the applicant's representative Ms Wongl, as to the broad interpretation of the phrase "... other operand", and " ... remote computer number" in claims 1,14,26,29,32,34 so as to more explicitly define the scope of the claims insofar as it applies to the specificity of the operand and remote computer for the key generation aspects of the claim language. Ms Wong agreed to the examiner amended claim language to more explicitly distinguish the 'other operand' aspects of the claims.